

RUMANIAN INTONATION STEREOTYPES

Laurenția Dascălu-Jinga

Institute of Phonetics and Dialectology,
Bucharest, Romania

ABSTRACT

Relying on the criterion of intonation-text relation, the author proposes a classification of intonation stereotypes (ISs) into three categories: (1) ISs depending on a grammatical structure, e.g. Verb+Indefinite Article+Noun etc; (2) ISs representing a higher degree of connection between intonation and text; e.g. intonations specific to some idiomatic phrases; (3) ISs occurring in the absence of any text, i.e. the intonations of "hummed messages" used to express "Yes", "No" etc.

0. PRESENTATION

The term "intonation stereotype" (IS) as used here is meant to be more comprehensive than "stylized tones" or "clichés mélodiques"; it refers to a more or less fixed pattern which is constantly associated with the same semantic and/or pragmatic content.

We propose the classification of ISs into three categories:

1. INTONATION STEREOTYPES DEPENDING ON A GRAMMATICAL STRUCTURE

1.1. The exclamative structures
ce "what" + noun

cit "how many" + noun may have two intonational variants:
- a one-peaked pattern: an upskip to the accented syllable of the noun is followed by a downskip; e.g.:

Ce bogă^f*e!* "What abundance!"

- a two-peaked pattern, with the first peak on the exclamative word and the second one on the accented syllable of the noun; e.g.:

*c*ⁱ*te* *tab*^l*o**u*^o*ri!* "How many pictures!"

1.2. The structure *ce* + noun can be also used with a somewhat opposite attitude, i.e. that of rejecting the partner's statement. In most cases, this rejective exclamation is pronounced in the low part of the voice, with a slightly falling intonation and therefore a narrow pitch range. Since in Rumanian the main function of *ce* is the interrogative one, the rejecting IS may be contrasted to the "homonymous" interrogative pattern; compare:

Speaker A: *Vrea să plece* "He wants to
in Himalaia. *dee* pentru *film.* "I've

leave for Hi- got an idea
malayas." for the movie.

Speaker B: Speaker B:

Ce *i*_{dee}!
Ce *i*_{dee}?

What an idea! What's the idea?

1.3. A high degree in terms of quality (superlative) may be expressed in Rumanian, beside other devices, by using the structure verb + indefinite article + noun

e.g.: *Era un frig!* "It was terribly cold!" (literally: "It was a cold!"). This "superlative" IS consists of a two-peaked pattern generally ending with a suspended high pitch: the two peaks correspond to the verb and the noun, respectively, the indefinite article being constantly pronounced on a low pitch. The last peak is usually followed by the lengthening of the last vowel on a high sustained pitch:

E *o* *z*^e*riee!* "What a mess!"

(Lit.: It's a mess!).

1.4. Another "superlative" Rumanian structure, this time in terms of quantity, implies the same IS: verb + preposition *la* "at" + noun

e.g.: *Au venit la oameni!* "There came hosts of people!" (Lit.: There came at people!), where the emphatic effect is the result of an ensemble of factors: the necessarily indefinite form of the noun, the unusual word order, the special suspensive intonation pattern, the extra duration of the last syllable vowel:

Au ve^{nit} *l*_a *o*^a*meeni!*

2. INTONATION STEREOTYPES REPRESENTING A HIGHER DEGREE OF CONNECTION BETWEEN INTONATION AND TEXT

This is the case of many phrases specific to any language, where a set sequence of concrete words implies a certain IS, or, as Bolinger says: "What we find is either a set intonation or a very restricted range of intonations as part of the set meaning" [2, 98].

Certainly, "there is no string of words that has one necessary intonation" [7, 57] and no intonation represents exclusively a certain text [6, 180]. In fact, to the enormous number of idioms of a given language there corresponds a rather limited number of intonations.

2.1. Most of the idioms have resulted from an ellipsis, accompanied by their semantic reduction. This often creates homonymous utterances with the original ones (with "full" meaning). Perhaps many languages have a number of such "expressions à deux lectures" of which one is idiomatic. In these cases, the intonation represents the only element, (beside the context), which determines the meaning, so that it has a distinctive function.

Actually, a great many of the Rumanian idioms may be regarded as "minimal pairs" of some utterances with the same wording and syntax, which are pronounced generally with a different intonation; compare:

Speaker A: *Am nevoie de*
tot salariul
tău.
Speaker A: *Care dintre*
ceste două
cărți îți
trebuie?

"I need all
your wages."
"Which of these two books
is of use to
you?"

Speaker B: Speaker B:

A^s ta-i bu nă! As ta-i bu nă;

"That's a good joke!" (Lit.: do". This is good).

"This one will do!" (Lit.: do". This is good). Therefore the ISs attached to the phrases function as such only in their specific linguistic and situational context [5, 4]. We have dealt with some of Rumanian examples in other papers [3; 4]: Nu mai spune! "You don't say so!", De unde! "Not at all!", Ce folos! "What's the use of it!", De ce nu! "Why not!", Nici vorbă! "Nothig of the kind".

2.2. It seems necessary to make a distinction between the expressions with a meaning by themselves and the ones which resort to the intonation [1, 276-277].

Some idioms have become "frozen" in an odd, ungrammatical form, so that they have no homonymous free pair. Rumanian has many such examples: Ce mai!, Nici vorbă!, Ce dacă!, Cum să nu!, Ce-are a face!, Ce dracu!, La ce bun!, Vezi să nu/etc. Generally they have a specific intonation, but not a distinctive one; e.g.:

Speaker A:

O să-mi dai și cărțile tale. "You'll give me your books too."

Speaker B:

v^ezi să nu! "By no means!"

(Lit.: "See to not").

3. INTONATION STEREOTYPES OCCURRING IN THE ABSENCE OF ANY TEXT

Some of these "intonation carriers" [2, 97] are uttered without opening the mouth; most of them function

as different types of replies and probably it is this "sequential" position in the dialogue which makes possible their capacity of being wordless.

According to Fónagy [5, 104], these "tonal gestures" are not less conventionalized than other equivalent responses, like "Yes", "No" etc. Revealing their full and strict meaning, Karcevskij [8, 222] calls them "real algebraic symbols of sentences". Let us see one example:

The hummed message used in Rumanian as an affirmative answer consists of two syllables formed by two syllabic [m]s separated by a "pure nasal aspiration which is generally voiced" [9, 81]. Its specific IS represents a rise on a pretty fixed interval of a major second (a slightly greater rising interval implies more interest or participation).

In other languages the rising interval is different; for instance, Fónagy describes the French equivalent as a labial nasal [m] accompanied by an abrupt rise of a seventh, whereas in Hungarian it is characterized by a slower and smaller rise, a "bisyllabic sixth", with two peaks of intensity, one at the beginning, the other at the end of hum [5, 104].

In other languages still, it seems that the same IS may be used with a different pragmatic value, e.g. in United States it is heard as a gentle and shorter answer to "Thank you".

4. COMMENTS

All types of ISs we have dealt with are used in the colloquial, informal language, most of them being affectively or attitudinally

marked.

In the case of the first type, a somewhat set pattern expresses a peculiar sense (such as "superlative" or "rejective" etc). In the second case, a definite pattern is assigned to a concrete verbal formula, the ensemble having its own meaning in a given language; that is why the ISs associated with idioms are to be "learned as part of the whole", as pointed out by Bolinger [2, 101].

The functional efficiency of our ISs is obviously decreasing from type 1 to type 3.

Our first type of ISs are known in other languages too, similarly to the so-called "intonation morphemes", whereas the second type represents ISs specific to every language, the same as their corresponding idiomatic text. As for the third type, the use of hummed messages is probably universal; what differs from one language to another is their phonetic aspect and/or meaning.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] BALLY, Ch. (1951), "Traité de stylistique française", III-ème éd., Genève, Paris, vol. I.
- [2] BOLINGER, D. (1981), "Some intonation stereotypes in English", "Problèmes de prosodie". Vol. II. "Expérimentations, modèles et fonctions" (Studia Phonetica 18), 97-101.
- [3] DASCĂLU, L. (1982), "Cîteva răspunsuri interrogative și intonația lor în limba română" (Some interrogative replies and their intonation in Rumanian), Studii și cercetări lingvistice, 33, 39-

- 46.
- [4] DASCĂLU-JINGA, L. (1988), "Rumanian idiomatic intonations", Revue roumaine de linguistique, 33, 229-236.
- [5] FÓNAGY, I. (1982), "situation et signification", Amsterdam/Philadelphia.
- [6] FÓNAGY, I., BÉRARD, E., FÓNAGY, J. (1983), "Clichés mélodiques", Folia linguistica, 17/1-4, 153-185.
- [7] GUNTER, R. (1974), "Sentences in Dialog", Columbia, South Carolina.
- [8] KARCEVSKIJ, S. (1964), "Sur la phonologie de la phrase", "A Prague school reader in linguistics" (compiled by J. Vachek), Bloomington, 206-251.
- [9] PETROVICI, E. (1930), "De la nasalité en roumain. Recherches expérimentales", Cluj.