WORD STRESS OF TRISYLLABICS OF OLD FRENCH ORIGIN IN LATE MIDDLE ENGLISH

TOMONORI MATSUSHITA

Dept. of English Literature Faculty of Letters Senshu University Kawasaki, Kanagawa, JAPAN

ABSTRACT

The unmarked stress pattern obviously differs in the degree of grammaticalness from the marked patterns in line-initial position, caesura, and rhyme and alliteration. Separation of linguistic intuition from poetic license enables us to define the former more narrowly and limit the scope of poetic license. The Main Stress Rule captures unmarked stress patterns of trisyllabic words of Old French origin, while stylistic rules account for marked stress patterns which are only derivatives from the unmarked ones. The stress patterns of Old French words were modified when they were borrowed into Middle English.

INTRODUCTION

Halle and Keyser [1] show that both the Initial Stress Rule inherited from Old English and the Romance Stress Rule were productive in the language of Chaucer and his contemporaries. The former rule correctly determined the place of stress for words like holi, félawe, while the latter rule assigns stress to the three types of words Týdeus, Satúrnes, and honour, respectively. They notice that stress doublets like comfórt - cómfort must be described either in terms of a shift into another lexical category or in terms of assumption of two possible Pronunciations, one with a lax vowel in the last syllable, the other with a tense vowel.

Nakao [2] argues that the application of the Romance Stress Rule and the Stress Retraction Rule accounts for stress doublets like bargeyn. Under Nakao's analysis, the stress placement in doublets seems to be highly motivated and the Initial Stress Rule can be eliminated. Closer examination reveals that the Romance Stress Rule, which plays a crucial role in the analyses mentioned above, faces serious difficulties in assigning stress to Old French loanwords [3: 111-12].

Under the two analyses presented by Halle and Keyser and by Nakao, the two stress patterns in doublets would have the same degree of grammaticalness and, therefore, speakers of late Middle English would have possessed a broader and looser linguistic intuition than speakers of any other age.

However, I [3] argue that the unmarked stress pattern obviously differs in the degree of grammaticalness from the marked patterns in line-initial posi-

tion, caesura, and rhyme and alliteration and that Chaucer and his contemporaries composed their verses utilizing poetic license which was partly deviant from their own linguistic intuition. This approach predicts that difference in judgment of grammaticality of the same stress patterns reflects the difference in derivations. Separation of linguistic intuition from poetic license will enable us to define the former more narrowly and limit the scope of poetic license.

It is argued in Matsushita [3] that the Main Stress Rule captures unmarked stress patterns of bisyllabic words of both native and Old French origin like bosom, biheest, citee, and benigne, while stylistic rules account for marked stress patterns like bosom, biheest, citee, and benigne which are only derivatives from the unmarked ones. I assume that the stress patterns of Old French words were modified when they were borrowed into Middle English.

The unmarked stress patterns in late Middle English are subcategorized into three parts. Consider the stress assignment in the following trisyllabic words. Nouns: bacheler (A.Prol 80, MV bacheler), wýdeweris (PP1A 10.200), sýmonie (PP1B 2.63, MV sýmonye); Adjectives: singuleer (G.CY 997, MV síngulare), délicaat (E.Cl 927, MV délicate), particulér (E.Cl), conservatif (HF 847); abhóminable (E.NP 4243); Verbs: occupie (F.Sq 64), sacrifice (TC 5.423, MV sacrifice), mynistren (PP1B 12.52). To account for the observed stress distribution, I propose to assign primary stress to the antepenultimate vowel except that an unstressed lax vowel is optionally suffixed to the word if the penultimate wowel is nontense and is followed by no more than a single consonant. The last vowel is either lax or tense and may be followed by more than one consonant. Notice that inflectional suffixes are neutral to the Main Stress Rule. Words prefixed with ad-, in-, and dis- like apparayl (GGK 601), aparaunt (Cln 1007), engendred (E.Cl 158), and discoverest (G.CY 696) receive primary stress on the penult by the Main Stress Rule. There are many words that are morphologically analyzable into one of the prefixes aper-, compre-, etc., followed by a stem such as -ceyve, -hende, etc.: apercéyved (RR 6371), comprehende (BD 762). The stress placement rule must assign primary stress to the final stem in these words. Using the customary formalism for the statement of phonological rules, I state

the Main Stress Rule as follows [3: 115]:

$$V \longrightarrow [1 \text{ stress}]$$

$$/ [\underline{X}(=) \quad C_o((\begin{bmatrix} -\text{tense} \\ V \end{bmatrix} C_o^1) CV_o)(e)]$$
where e stands for a schwa.

Let us turn now to our discussion of the difference between the Romance Stress Rule proposed by Halle and Keyser and Nakao and the Main Stress Rule just presented above since they are formally similar. As I have stated, however, the latter uniquely generates, without requiring any exceptional treatment, the stress patterns which reflect the linguistic intuition of Chaucer and his contemporaries as native speakers, while the former neither applies to words of Old French origin in which the vowel in the last syllable is to be stressed nor discriminates linguistic stress from alliterative and metrical stress. My analysis argues that the Main Stress Rule of Modern English had essentially reached its current form by the late Middle English Period [4]. This view is consistent with the historical fact that the system of English word stress was more greatly influenced by Old French than by other languages.

LINGUISTIC STRESS VS. STYLISTIC STRESS

To clarify the distinction between linguistic stress and alliteration and metrical stress, it may be useful to outline assumptions underlying this paper. Linguistic stress is a concept that belongs to the study of competence, whereas alliteration and metrical stress belong to the study of performance. The former is generated by a context-free rule called the Main Stress Rule. Linguistic stress is perfectly grammatical, independent of any stylistic consideration and has mostly been preserved in Modern English. On the other hand, the latter types of stress are derived by stylistic rules to base-generated stress patterns. Alliteration and metrical stress may be semi-grammatical, dependent on style, alliterative or metrical, and not been preserved in Modern English. It is also important to notice that "Die legitime Accentverschiebung zu Gunsten des Verses hat namentlich im Reim und demnächst in der Cäsur ihre Stelle" and in the line-initial position and that alliterative and metrical verses differ in manner and frequently from legitimate stress shift [5]. I will illustrate three types of stress patterns and discuss stylistic rules relevant to marked patterns. Statistical consideration is made of each type of stress patterns. Examples of metrical verses are drawn from Chaucer's works [5'] (1369-99); alliterative verses, from Patience [6] (?c1380), Cleanness [7] (?c1380), St. Erkenwald [8] (c1386), Sir Gawain and the Green Knight [9] (?1390), and Piers the Plowman (A [10] a1376, B [11] c1378, C [12] ?a1387). The symbols Q, C, and @ stand for line-initial position, rhyme, caesura, respectively.

Consider first words of Old French origin in which the Main Stress Rule assigns primary stress to the antepenultimate vowel.

- Ch. ábstinénce 21x (11x); ábstinence (PP1A 5.220, 6.109, 8.119/PP1C 7.440)
- Ch. appetit(es) 16x (9x); appetyt (PP1A 7.251) Ch. árgumént(s) 20x (35x); árgumentz (PP1B 15.375/PP1C 20.110)
- Ch. áudiénce 18x (@ 1x, @ 17x); áudience (PP10
- Ch. chámpióun 9x (6x); chá(u)mpion (PP1A 9.41/ PP1C 16.279, 21.104)
- Ch. cláryoun 11x (@ 9x); cláryoun (Cln 1210) Ch. countenaunce(s) 44x (@ 33x); countenaunce (PP1B pr.24, 5.183, 11.15, 13.111/PP1C 1.26, 12.164, 16.120), (Cln 792), (GGK 100, 1490, 1539)

Ch. díadéme 5x ((r) 4x)

- Ch. élemént(z) 6x ((x) 3x); élement(z) (PP1B 18,235/ PP1C 2.17, 21.247)
- Ch. fántasýe 23x (Clx, P20x); fántasye(s) (PPla pr. 36, 11.63/PP1B pr. 36/PP1C 1.37)

Ch. funeral 4x ((1) 1x, (7) 1x)

Adjectives

- Ch. ámoróus 15x ((6x) Ch. contrarie 11x ((2 4x) / contrarie RR 2246 ((1 1x), RR 5312; contrarie (PP1C 10.193, 20.325), (Cln 4, 266, 1532)
- Ch. dángeróus 15x (© 1x, 🕝 11x)

Ch. désoláat 9x (② 2x, ① 6x) Ch. fortunát 6x (② 1x, ② 3x)

Ch. génerál 8x ((7) 3x) Ch. hónuráble 18x (@ 10x); cf. hónourablely (PPIB

12.155) Ch. náturál 11x ((1x, (3x)

Ch. périlous 18x (© 1x, T 5x); périlous (PPlA 7.44/PP1C 7.186n), (GGK 2097)

Ch. principal 7x (@ 5x); pryncipal(e) (Cln 1531, 1781), cf. principaliche (PP1B 14.194)

- Ch. résonabele 11x ((7) 10x); ré(i)sonable (PP1B pr.158n, 13.286/PP1C 1.176, 4.369, 7.33), (Cln 724)
- Ch. sóveráyn 30x (@ 8x); sóuereyn (PP1B pr.159, 10.210, 11.370, 14.114/PP1C 2.148, 7.27, 16.295, 23.372), (Cln 93, 178, 210, 552, 557, 780, 1152, 1225,1313, 1454, 1643, 1670), (Erk 120), (GGK 1278), (Pat 429) Verbs

- Ch. circumscrive TC 5.1865 (T1x), cf. MV circumcise [13]
- Ch. excercise 3x ((2) 3x)
- Ch. éxecúteth A.Kn 1664
- Ch. frúctyfýe Scog 48 (@ 1x) Ch. glóriffe 4x (② 4x)

Ch. magnifie HF 1.306

- Ch. maltiplye 14x (@ 2x, @ 10x); multeplie (PPIC 19.226), (Cln 278, 522)
- Ch. occupie(th) 6x (T 1x); occupien (PP1B 16.196/ PP1C 8.18, 19.207)
- Ch. sacrifice 3x (@ 2x); sacrifised (PP1B 12.118), sakerefyse (Cln 507, 510, 1447, 1497), (Pat 239, 334), (Prl 1064)

Ch. stéllyfýe 3x (3x)

Ch. vérifie G.CY 1068

Ch. vérsifie B.Mk 3168; vérsifie (PP1B 15.367/ PP1C 18.109)

In Chaucer's metrical verses (see Table 1 below), unmarked instances total 396 --- of which two

instances occur in the line-initial position, 9 in the caesura, and 240 in rhyme. 145 unmarked instances occur elsewhere. In the alliterative verses, unmarked stress pattern occurs 85 times while no instances of the marked pattern are identified. In the stylistic component of the LME grammar the Metrical Rule I (MR-I) applies to words of Old French origin like ábstinence, áppetit, and dángerous to derive metrical forms like abstinence, appetit, and dangerous.

$$V \longrightarrow [1 \text{ stress}] / C_0 \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 1 \text{ stress} \end{bmatrix} C_0 V C_0 (MR-I)$$

this approach.

Let us turn next to words of Old French origin in which the Main Stress Rule assigns primary stress to the penult. Nouns

Ch. álliáunce 10x ((r) 9x), MV allíaunce

Ch. ápparénce 10x (@ 1x, @ 7x); cf. apáraunt (Cln 1007), cf. MV apparant

Ch. acqueyntaunce(s) 5x/ ácqueyntáunce(s) 15x ((r) 11x); aquóyntaunce (GGK 975)

Ch. avauntage 4x/ ávauntage 9x (?) 9x), MV aduan-

Ch. aventure 80x (© 5x, () 55x); aventure (GGK 2482)/ auenture (GGK 29, 250)

Ch. comaundement(z) E.Cl 649, G.CY 1063/cómaundement(z) 13x (9x); commaundemens (PP1C 12.143), (GGK 1303, 1501)

Ch. covéitise TC 3.261, RR 205/ cóveitíse 24x (15x); coue(i)tise (PP1A pr.58, 2.33, 3.158, 5.107, 10.192/PP1B pr.61, 3.68, 9.155, 10.18, 13.391, 14.238/PP1C 1.59, 3.90, 7.39, 11.257, 13.241, 17.223, 20.254, 22.224), (Cln 181), (Erk 237), (GGK 2374, 2380, 2508)

Ch. hábundánce 8x (7x), cf. abúndant

Ch. obeissance(s) 2x/ obeissance(s) 16x () 15x), MV obéysance Ch. óbservánce(s) 23x ((T) 17x), cf. MV observance

Ch. philosophre(s) C.Pard 620, G.CY 1394/philosophre(s) 18x (@ 7x)

Ch. rémembrance 43x ((2) 37x), MV remémbrance

Only two marked instances remain unanalysed under

A.Prol 228 (1x), cf. MV repéntance Ch. accómplice RR 2132/ accomplice 3x (? 1x)

Ch. inférnal A.Kn 2684/ ínfernál 2x ((lx)

Ch. repéntaunt F.Sq 655 (1x) / répentaunt

Ch. répentance 10x ((r) 9x); répentaunce (PP1A

Ch. apparaunt 2x (2x); aparaunt (Cln 1007),

Ch. ententif 3x (1) 1x)/ ententif 5x (2) 5x)

Ch. habundant E.Cl 59/ habundant B.NP 4115, MV

Ch. ácceptáble D.Sum 1913 (T) 1x)

Ch. córporéll RR 6757 ((1x)

Adjectives

5.43/PP1B 5.232/PP1C 7.234), MV repéntance

Ch. apárayle 2x/ áparáyle LGW 2473 ((1x); appárayle (PP1A 2.148, 2.190, 7.53/PP1B pr.23, 2.170, 5.523, 6.59/PP1C 8.161), cf. pápailede (PP1C 1.25, 3.224)

Ch. continu 5x (2x)/ continu RR 5332

Ch. delývere 26x/ délyvére 8x (@4x, @1x); delýuer (Cln 1084), (GGK 851)/ délyuer (Cln 286, 500)

Ch. détermyne 4x ((4x); cf. termyne PF 530, detérmyned (R. the Redeles 2.97)

Ch. disfigure(d) 3x/ disfigure(d) 2x (© 2x)

Ch. engéndre 13x/ éngendré 2x ((1x); engéndrede (PP1C 11.215), éngendered (Cln 272)

Ch. enhábite TC 4.443/ énhabíte RR 6355 (1x); énhabiten (PPIC 10.188)

Ch. enlúmyned 4x (① 2x) / énlumyned RR 5344 (② 1x) Ch. envényme(d) 2x ((i) 2x)/ énvenyme(d) 3x (f) 2x);

énuenymeb (PP1B 12.256) Ch. réconforte 3x (🖱 3x); réconforted (PP1B

Ch. remémbere(th/st/d) 27x/ rémembré RR 4110 ((?) 1x); rémembred (Pat 326)

As shown in the Table 2, Chaucer's verses contain 110 unmarked instances versus 324 marked ones in

the line-initial position, in the caesura, and in

Table 1

	1	alliterative verses						
stress pattern	metrical verses unmarked				marked	unmarked	marked	
	initial	caesura	rhyme (/)	elsewhere		<u> </u>		
nouns	1	2	118	54	0	30	0	0
adjectives	1	5	101	79	2	39	0	0
verbs	<u> </u>		21	12	0	16	0	0
total	2	9	240	145	2	85	0	0
	396				2			0

Table 2

	metrical verses					alliterative verse		
		metri	mark	unmarked	marked			
stress pattern	unmarked	initial	caesura	rhyme,	elsewhere			
nouns			6	207	66	2	30	0
adjectives	17	0	0	13	2	11	0	0
verbs	84	0	4	18	8	11	6	0
tota1	110	0	10 238 76			14	36 0	

Table 3

		metr	alliterative verses					
stress pattern	unmarked						marked	
	initial <u>(/) /</u>	caesura	rhyme <u>U</u>	elsewhere	marked 	unmarked	- IIII Red	
							<u> </u>	
nouns		-	_	-	-	_		+
adjectives	_	_	-	_				
verbs	1	0	20	21	2	1 1	3	-
total	1	0	20	21			3	1 - 2
	42				2	1 1		

rhyme (0, 10, and 238, respectively). The Stress Movement Rule (SMR) applies prior to the Metrical Rule II (MR-II) to derive metrical forms like <u>álliáunce</u>, <u>hábundánce</u>, and <u>éternél</u> from basegenerated forms like <u>allíaunce</u>, <u>habúndance</u>, and <u>etérnel</u>.

$$V \longrightarrow [1 \text{ stress}] / C_{o} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} V \\ 1 \text{ stress} \end{array}} C_{o} C_{o}^{\#} (SMR)$$

$$V \longrightarrow [1 \text{ stress}] / \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} C \\ O \end{array}} V C_{o} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 1 \text{ stress} \end{bmatrix}} C_{o} (MR-II)$$

78 marked instances occur elsewhere. These cases are captured in terms of a larger scope of poetic composition. In the alliterative verses the unmarked pattern occurs 14 times, while the marked one (/_____) does occur 36 times. The Alliterative Rule (AR) derives from base-generated forms like auenture, commaundemens, and coue(i)tise stylistically-motivated forms like auenture, commaundemens, and coue(i)tise.

$$V \longrightarrow [1 \text{ stress}] / \#C \underbrace{(C_0V)C_0}_{\text{otstress}} C_0 \text{ (AR)}.$$

Finally, let us consider words of Old French origin to which the Main Stress Rule assigns primary stress to the last vowel. To my knowledge, neither nouns nor adjectives of the LME period belong to this class.

Verbs

- Ch. ápercéyv 4x (② 4x)/ apérceyv 2x; apérseyuede (PP1B 5.143n/PP1C 20.66n), cf. párceyued (PP1B 5.143/PP1C 20.66)
- Ch. cómprehénde 6x ((2 4x), cf. comprende 3x
- Ch. condescende(d) 2x (2x)
- Ch. éntermédle(d) 2x (1) 1x)
- Ch. éntermétte llx (© 2x); enterméten (PP1B 11.408)/ éntermeten (PP1B 13.291)
- Ch. éntrecháunged(en) 2x
- Ch. mysconstruwe TC 1.346 (@ 1x)
- Ch. mysdeparteth B.ML 107
- Ch. récoménde(th) 9x ((2) 4x); récomaund(ib) (PP1B 15.228/PP1C 17.355n)
- Ch. réprehénde TC 1.510 (@ 1x)
- Ch. répresente 2x (© 2x)

In Chaucer's verses the unmarked stress pattern occurs 42 times whereas the marked pattern occurs only twice (see Table 3 above). The Metrical Rule II applies to base-generated forms like comprehénde and représente to derive metrical forms like comprehénde and répresente. In the alliterative lines, however, marked cases exceed unmarked ones with a ratio of five to one. The Alliterative

Rule applies to base-generated forms like enterméten and recomáund to yield rhetoric forms like éntermeten and récomaund.

The data illustrated from both of the alliterative and metrical verses of late Middle English strongly support my approach that the Main Stress Rule assigns linguistic stress to the required vowel and later modification by stylistic rules yields alliteration or metrical stress according to rhetorical necessity.

CONCLUSION

The comparison between poetic licenses in metrical and alliterative poems has allowed us to clearly characterize the unmarked stress patterns of late Middle English which reflect the linguistic intuition of native speakers of that period. The formulation of the Main Stress Rule. in turn, sheds light on artistic creativity in alliterative and metrical verses. Reflection on multi-layered linguistic data thus enables us to discriminate what is generated from what is derived even though we are not allowed to obtain judgment immediately from native speakers of the late Middle English period.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Halle and S. Keyser, «English Stress», Harper & Row, 1971.
- [2] T. Nakao, «The Prosodic Phonology of Late Middle English», Shinozaki Shorin, 1977.
- [3] T. Matsushita, "Word-Stress Assignment in LME"
 «Studies in Eng Lit), LVII, 1985.
- [4] N. Chomsky and M. Halle, «The Sound Patter of English», Harper & Row, 1968.
- [5] B. ten Brink, «Chaucers Sprache und Verskunst», Chr. Herm. Tauchnitz, 1899.
- [5] N. F. Robinson, ed., «The Works of Geoffrey Chaucer», OUP, 1957.
- [6] J. Anderson ed. «Patience», ManchesterUP, 1969.
- [7] J. Anderson ed. (Cleanness), ManchesterUP, 1977. [8] H. L. Savage, ed., (St. Erkenwald), The Shoe
- String Press, 1972.
 [9] J. Tolkien and E. Gordon, ed., «Sir Gawain and
- the Green Knight», Clarendon Press, 1967. [10] W. W. Skeat, ed., «The Vision of Piers Plowman,
- Text A>, EETS 28, 1867.
 [11] W. W. Skeat, ed., & The Vision of Piers Plowman,
- Text B>, EETS 38, 1869. [12] W. W. Skeat, ed., «The Vision of Piers Plowman,
- Text C>, EEST 54, 1873.
 [13] H. B. Wheatley, ed. "Manipulus Vocabulorum.
- [13] H. B. Wheatley, ed., «Manipulus Vocabulorum, (1570)», EETS 27, 1867.