

A PHONOLOGIC-PHONETIC COMPONENT OF A
DYNAMIC LINGUISTIC MODEL

Georgi Chikoidze

Linguistic Modelling Laboratory
Institute of Control Systems of the GSSR Academy of Sciences
Tbilisi, Georgia, USSR 380042

ABSTRACT

As a basic quantitative criterion for a phonologic description choice, an average phonologic code length of a text is suggested. Capabilities of such an approach are demonstrated on the example of a Georgian phonologic system.

A dynamic linguistic model is a system, which fulfils direct and inverse transformation of a "sense-speech (text)". A phonologic-phonetic component of the direct transformation (synthesis) produces to the given phonemes corresponding phonetic characteristics, i.e. descriptions, which must serve as an immediate basis for a choice of articulatory commands. A natural basis of a phonetic description is a set of articulatory features (in the case of synthesis), or their acoustic correlates (for analysis).

These processes are essential components of a complete linguistic model, being the basis of many important practical applications. According to this, conditions of simplicity and description economy acquire not only abstract-theoretical meaning but also practical value, stipulated by natural demands, made by technical realization of such systems. Technical realization of a phoneme in a linguistic model is its code, the choice of which, generally speaking, is arbitrary, not taking into account a trivial condition of noncoincidence of different phonemes codes. According to this, a problem of the code choice naturally arises, providing a chance to create simple and economical coding-decoding procedures, which direct and inverse phonologic-phonetic conversion.

Concretizing this code choice criteria, on the basis of quite general consideration we can suppose, that they may be reduced to the conditions of a code structure simplicity and to minimality of its some quantitative characteristics (of average length) and also to demands of simplicity of its correlation with phonetic characteristics. A binary code, for which a structure of coding-decoding procedure is represented by a dichotomic tree, obviously, possesses the

simplest structure. Methods of agreement of such a code with frequency of coded symbols appearance, providing the code construction with minimum average length, are well-known. However, these methods are not intended for taking into consideration an additional condition, which is a requirement for simplicity and directness of relation of a phonologic code to a phonetic description.

Evidently, this last demand is satisfied more completely by a code, a coding-decoding tree of which can simultaneously be regarded as the basis for a phonological system description in terms of phonetic features. By the tree of such a type, constructed on the basis of acoustic characteristics, a Russian phonological system is described in /1/. Terminal nodes of such a tree are phonemes; some phonologically meaningful binary features are connected with each nonterminal node, one of the branches, coming from it (in our case -left), is connected with the positive value of the feature, and the other - with the negative one. Now, by attaching a value "1" to each "positive" branch, and "0" to each negative one, for every phoneme can be produced a binary code, which is created in the process of passing the route from the root ("top") of the tree to the terminal node corresponding to this phoneme. A procedure of passing by such tree routes, leading from the root to terminal nodes, can be performed on the basis of the given code sequence, creating the corresponding phonemic features (a process of decoding), as well as on the basis of the given phonetic features succession, providing the construction of the corresponding code (a process of coding).

A set of phonetic features, associated with nodes of his tree, makes up some subset of a meaningful phonetic characteristics set, enough for distinguishing all the phonemes of the given phonologic system. Sets, possessing such a property, can be chosen by many different ways, and thus, some additional condition of minimality is imposed in order to determine some "marked" sets. In the majority of cases, and particularly, in /1/ and /2/ a requirement for minimum of number of different features, forming a set, serves as a restriction.

sonants to nonconsonants. In this case Q reaches 16%. On the contrary, concession to the traditional approach, apparently, is a refusal from the variant, opposing firstly fricatives and affricates to simple stops, and then fricatives - to affricates, since this variant provides lower value of the criterion: $Q = 8\%$.

At the same time, economy of description basically defined by the value Q, must not conflict with its completeness, i.e. on the basis of the accepted scheme of the phonologic-phonetic transformation all the characteristics, necessary for the functioning of phonetic, phonologic and morphological rules, must be produced. So if the synthesis of the sound is provided by the modelling of the speech tract configuration in the process of the sound articulation, then it is necessary to enlarge, for example, the sonor characteristics by information about, common to them voiceness and their formation place, and also - to note such specific features as laterality of l and vibrance of r; voiced consonants deafening in front of voiceless stops needs, differentiating of q by a voiced-unvoiced feature; finally, the formulation of the morphological rules of a stem truncation and contraction will be simplified by the feature, common to a and e, and so on. The most natural is including of all useful characteristics creation into the process of decoding. This can be expressed graphically by adding their symbols to the corresponding branches, for aims of minimizing a number of repetitions; such additions must be made on the maximally high level,

for which they are relevant; so the additional feature of voiceness must be created, according to the above adduced examples, when passing the positive (left) branch, coming from S. Let us emphasize, that these additional symbols will be simply ignored when coding.

REFERENCES

1. M. Halle. The sound pattern of Russian. 's-Gravenhage, 1959, p. 19 - 46.
2. C. Cherry, M. Halle, R. Jakobson. Toward the logical description of languages in their phonemic aspect. "Language", vol. 29, N 1, 1953, p. 34 - 47.
3. D. Tzkitishvili. Statistics of binary letter combinations (digrams) in the Georgian language. Col. of Proceedings of the Institute of Control Systems of the GSSR Academy of Sciences "Machine Translation", XI-XII; 3, publ. "Metzniereba", Tbilisi, 1974, p. 141 - 146.
4. G. Ahvlediani. General Phonetics Foundation, publ. TSU, Tbilisi, 1949.
5. F. de Saussure. A course of general linguistics. "Satzekgiz", Moscow, 1931.
6. G. Chikoidze. A system of articulatory features and Georgian phonemes coding. Proceedings of the Institute of Control Systems of the GSSR. "Machine Translation", XII: 3, publ. "Metzniereba", Tbilisi, 1975, p. 5 - 37.